

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,316	08/15/2001	Paul B. Savage	07913-006001	3761
26161 755 FISH & RICH	ARDSON PC	·	~ EXAMI	iner
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			BADIO, BARBARA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
		DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
1	_	09/930,316	SAVAGE ET AL.			
***	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	. 1616 .			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears on the cover she t	with th correspond nce address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sistence of a sistence of time may be available under the provisions of 37 BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No by statute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾 🤚	Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,4-6,13,15-23,26-52,54 and 55 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	·	·				
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
			C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
· a)	☐ The translation of the foreign langua	ge provisional application has	been received.			
Attachment(
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	(48) 5) Notice (w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Art Unit: 1616

First Office Action on the Merits

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 20-23, 26-49 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.
- 2. During a telephone conversation with Ms. Christine Bellon on March 5, 2003, applicant elected the species of claim 57. Based on applicant's election of species, claims 2, 4-6, 13, 15-19, 50-52, 54 and 55 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected species. Claims 1, 3, 7-12, 14, 24, 25, 53, 56-58 will be examined according to MPEP § 803.02.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/930,316

Art Unit: 1616

4. Claims 1, 3, 7-12, 14, 24, 25, 53 and 56-58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,350,738. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both drawn to compounds of formula I wherein at least two of R₁ through R₁₄ are as defined by the instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nestler.

Nestler teaches the compound 3,7-bis[(aminoacetyl)oxy]-cholan-24-oic acid (see attached Abstract). The compound taught by the reference is encompassed by the instant claims.

Telephone Inquiry

Art Unit: 1616

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-4595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1616

BB March 6, 2003